

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/857,739	06/08/2001	John Russell Robertson	02332-0020 9829 49409-264826 EXAMINER YU, MISOOK	
23370	7590 09/10/2004			
JOHN S. PR. KILPATRICK	ATT, ESQ STOCKTON, LLP			
1100 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/857,739	ROBERTSON ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFF f extension and the corresponding amount he shortened statutory period for reply case later than three months after the mailing the shortened statutory period for reply case later than three months after the mailing the shortened statutory period for reply case.	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be		,			
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) 🛛 they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: total of 14 claims are newly added.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s): <u>None</u> .				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant is arguing with a non-entered amendment.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly			
7. To purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) 🔀 will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and an vor appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement					
LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER		Misook Yu 9/2/04			

TE